UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/611,649 | 07/01/2003 | Chris Rundfeldt | NY-HUBR 1221-US | 2085 | |
| 24972 EUI BRIGHT | 7590 11/01/2007 | | EXAMINER | | |
| FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, LLP 666 FIFTH AVE | | | KANTAMNENI, SHOBHA | | |
| NEW YORK, NY 10103-3198 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1617 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 11/01/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/611,649 | RUNDFELDT ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | | | |

| | Shobha Kantamneni | 1617 | |
|--|--|---|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the d | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 22 October 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | R ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76 | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f). | g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F | on. ILED WITHIN |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection, | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed, |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | nsideration and/or search (see NO w); | TE below); | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beta appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a second content of the present additional claims. | corresponding number of finally rej | | the issues for |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. | | empliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all | | Alarah Elada aras adam | 4 |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | lowable if submitted in a separate, | timely filed amendme | ent canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: NONE. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-4,6,8-13,15,17,18 and 20-23. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | ⊠ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi vided below or appended. | II be entered and an e | explanation of |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | d sufficient reasons why the affiday | vit or other evidence is | s necessary and |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome all rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attacl | ned. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See page 2. | t does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowa | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| 13. Other: | Walmon | Alons | |
| | CECENI PADMANAS | HAN | |

- 3. Applicant's proposed amendment which amended claims herein, especially claim 1 (the independent claim), by changing limitations and the scope of claims, present a new issue for search and consideration by the Examiner. Therefore, the proposed amendment After Final will not be entered.
- 11 . All rejections of record in the Final Office Action 07/23/2007 are maintained in view of the proposed amendment After Final not entered. Applicant's remarks/arguments filed on 10/22/2007 after FINAL with respect to all rejections made have been fully considered but are unpersuasive in view of not entered proposed amendment, and as discussed in the Final Rejection.